Dear Mr. Agung Wibowo:

Congratulations - your paper #1570424544 ('Classification Algorithm for Edible Mushroom Identification') for ICOIACT 2018 has been accepted and will be presented in the session titled __.

The reviews are below or can be found at https://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570424544.

======== Review 1 ========

> *** Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research. Good (4)

> *** Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper. (e.g. completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc), its soundness and scientific rigour. Good (4)

> *** Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper. Good (4)

> *** Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references Good (4)

> *** Recommendation: How do you rate your recommendation? Accept. (3)

> *** Detailed comments: Please justify your recommendation and suggest improvements in technical content or presentation.

Good conference paper

======== Review 2 ========

> *** Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research. Average (3)

> *** Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper. (e.g. completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc), its soundness and scientific rigour. Below Average (2)

> *** Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper. Below Average (2)

> *** Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references Below Average (2)

> *** Recommendation: How do you rate your recommendation? Likely Reject. (1)

> *** Detailed comments: Please justify your recommendation and suggest improvements in technical content or presentation.

if this paper get accepted you should modify the paper as written below
1. Please modify the English in the paper. There are many grammatical mistakes.
2. In introduction you should add explanation about what kind of research you want to report in the paper and how you organize the paper
3. Please rewrite every equation in your paper. Don't copy paste from another resource
4. Please modify the explanation in result and discussion. It is really not clear what you want to say with the result.

====== Review 3 ======

> *** Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research. Good (4)

> *** Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper. (e.g. completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc), its soundness and scientific rigour. Average (3)

> *** Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper. Average (3)

> *** Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references Average (3)

> *** Recommendation: How do you rate your recommendation? Possible Accept. (2)

> *** Detailed comments: Please justify your recommendation and suggest improvements in technical content or presentation.

   i) Contribution of the authors in this paper is not very clear. ii) Type all equations. iii) Citations of the equations are absent.

Regards,
The conference chairs